SDMS Document ID

APPLICATION FOR	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Version 7/0
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUB 07/28/	MITTED 04	Applicant Identi	lhet.
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION: Application Pre-		EIVED BY STATE	State Application	on Identifier
		EIVED BY FEDERAL AGENC	Y Federal Identifi	er
K) Non-Construction No	n-Construction			·
APPLICANT INFORMATION		Dina track and the		
Legal Name:	N	Organizational U		
Libby Area Technical A Organizational DUNS:	assistance Group	(Division:	N/A	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Address: Sireet		Name and teleph	one number of per plication (give are:	son to be contacted on matter code)
PO Box 53	•	Frefix: Ms	Lives Marmor	Cheryl
City: Libby		Middle Name Ann		
County: Lincoln		Last Name Fox		
Stote: Zip Ca	ide	Suffec:	 -	
MY	59923	Email:		
EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUM	DED (CIAI).	cher	y1@libby.or	
	DER (EII4);	Phone Number (gi		Fax Number (give area code)
BII-0371498	<u></u>	406-293-3		406-293-5334 of form for Application Types)
[] New	S Continuation ☐ Revis		MITT: JOSE DEG	or string on whitesome (Abod)
Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in See back of form for description of letter	pox(es)	Other (specify)		
other (specify)		S. NAME OF FED	ERAL AGENCY:	rotection Agency
D. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMES	IC ASSISTANCE NUMBER:			CANT'S PROJECT:
•	ഉ ത_ख	Technical	Aceietance	at the Libby
TILE (Name of Program): Superfund Technical A	ssistance Grant	Superfund		ac the proby
2. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT	Cities, Counties, States, etc.):			•
City of Libby, Lincoln	County, State of		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. PROPOSED PROJECT	g Cata:		NAL DISTRICTS	
	9 Date: /31/05	a. Applicant 1 (MT)		b. Project 1 (MT)
a. Estimated funding:	-	16. IS APPLICATE ORDER 12372 PRO		REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTI
Federal	50,000 ^{.0}			VAPPLICATION WAS MADE ATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 1237
Applicant 5	12,500		ESS FOR REVIEW	
State 5	.00	DATE:	,	
i, ocal S	.00.	b. No. SE PROG	RAM IS NOT COV	ERED BY E. O. 12372
Other 5	.01	OR PE		T BEEN SELECTED BY STAT
Program income 5	.00		CANT DELINQUE	NY ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT
TOTAL	62,500	Yes if "Yes" on	ach an exclanation	i. 😺 No
THE PERSON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	4-1200	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
1 10 THE REST OF MY KNOWLEDGE	AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN T	THISTAPPLICATION/PREAPI		
CUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORITACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSI	ZED BY THE GOVERNING BO	THIS APPLICATION/PREAPI ODY OF THE APPLICANT A	ND THE APPLICA	NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE
OCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORI TACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSII Authorized Rediesentative Hotel First New	ZED BY THE GOVERNING BE STANCE IS AWARDED.	ODY OF THE APPLICANT A	ND THE APPLICA	NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE
OCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIT ACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANT AUTHORIZED REGIESENTATIVE First New Gay 1	ZED BY THE GOVERNING BE STANCE IS AWARDED.	ODY OF THE APPLICANT A	ND THE APPLICA	NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE
COMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIT ACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE OF THE ASSISTANCE O	ZED BY THE GOVERNING BE STANCE IS AWARDED.	ODY OF THE APPLICANT A	ND THE APPLICA die Name	NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE
COMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORITACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSIRANCES IF THE ASSIRANCES IF THE ASSIRANCE AUTHORIZED AUTHORIZED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSIRANCE AUTHORIZED ASSURANCE AUTHORIZED AUTHOR	ZED BY THE GOVERNING BE STANCE IS AWARDED.	ODY OF THE APPLICANT A	ND THE APPLICA	NT WILL COMPLY WITH THE

Grani Program Function Calalog of Federal Domestic Assistance		Estimated (Inobligated Funds	THE PROPERTY OF STREET,	New or Revised Budo	
or Activity (a)	Number (b)	Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal	Total
Technical Advisory	66-806	\$	\$	\$ 41,000.00	\$ (1)	(g)
Grant Admin.	66-806	-		9,000.00		
	•	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Totals	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	\$	\$ 50,000.00	\$	\$
Object Class Calegories		SEC	TIONE BUDGET CAT	EGORIESHIVATION		
Object Class Catagories		(1)		TONGION ON ACTIVITY	attress makes an entreme (1999) (45)	Total
a. Personnel		\$	(2) ¢	(3)	(4)	(5)
a. F815OHNBI		-0-)	· \$	\$
b. Fringe Benefits		-0-		-		
c. Travel	·	-0-				
d. Equipment		-0-				
e. Supplies	<u> </u>	-0-				
f. Contractual		-0-			·	
g. Construction		-0-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
h. Other In-Kind	Donations				12,500.00	
i. Total Direct Charge	s (sum of 6a-6h)	0-			•	· · ·
j. Indirect Charges		-0-				
k. TOTALS (sum of		\$ -0-	\$	5		。 第
	是時期,這些遭					
Program Income		ls.	s	\$50,000.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$

Authorized for Local Reproduction

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

(a) Grant Program		(b) Applicant	(c) State	(d) Other Sources	(e) TOTALS	
In-Kind Donations	\$ 12,500.00	\$	\$	\$		
	<u> </u>		,		, .	
0.	, 1					
1.						
2. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)	•	\$12,500.00	\$	\$	\$	
	SECTIO	N D • FOREGASTED C	ASH NEEDS			
10.5.1	Total for 1st Year	1st Querter	2nd Querter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	
13. Federal	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00	
14. Non-Federal					ļ	
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
* THE THREE CHONES	SUDGET ESTIMATES O	F FEDERAL FUNDS N	EEDEDKOR BALANGE	OF HER DECK		
(a) Grant Program				VG PERIODS (Years)		
		(b) First	(c) Second	(d) Third	(e) Fourth	
16. Technical Assistance Brogram		\$ 50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	
17.	·			-,	<u> </u>	
18.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19.						
20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19)		\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ _{50,000.00}	\$ _{50,000.00}	
				Law Andrews	操作证 经	
21. Direct Charges: \$50,000.00 plus matching \$12	,500.00 = \$62,500	■	irect Charges:			
23. Remarks:						
		<u> </u>			4946 (Day 7-97) P	

Authorized for Local Reproduction

· Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97) Page 2

OMB Approval No. 0348-0040

ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET.
SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE: Cenain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, cenain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

- Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
- Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General
 of the United States and, if appropriate, the State,
 through any authorized representative, access to and
 the right to examine all records, books, papers, or
 documents related to the award; and will establish a
 proper accounting system in accordance with generally
 accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
- Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
- Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time trame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
- Will comply with the intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
- Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to:

 (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin;
 (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex;
 (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation

- Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) §§523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
- 7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
- 8. Will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5-U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

OBJECT CLASS CATEGORIES DETAIL BREAKDOWN

[Note: Please indicate any pre-award costs with an star (*)]
[Federal share plus **Match share]

a. PERSONNEL

Position - Recipient Staff Only	Estimated Hour (FTEs)	Salary (Hourly Rate)	Amount	
Grant Administrator	750	\$12,00.00	\$9,000.00	
Technical Advisor (1)	320	\$25,00.00	8,000.00	
Technical Advisor (2)	660	\$50,00.00	33,000.00	
		<u> </u>		
Total Personnel Cost			\$50,000.00	

b. Fringe Benefits

Base	<u> </u>	-0-	·
Rate	x	-0-	
Total Fringe Benefits Cost		-0-	•

c. Travel

In-State (Example)

Purpose	Destination	No. Days	No. Staff	Miles	Rate	Cost
						-0-
				1 1 1		-0-
						-0-
47						-0-
	•	· ·] ·.		-0-

Out-State

Purpose	Destination	No. Staff	No. Days	Per Diem	Lodging	Cost
	<u> </u>					-0-
						-0-
						-0-

Г			 	
-1	Total Travel Cost	**		_0_
<u>_</u>		<u> </u>	 	

liem	1	Number	Cost Per Unit	Total
				-0-

Total Equipment Cost -0-

e. Supplies

List supplies by groups (Office, Laboratory, etc.)				Cost	
				-0-	
					
			<u>. </u>	_	
Total Supplies Cost				-0-	

f. Contractual

List each planned cont	ract or type of service	to be procured.	· .	Cost	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-0-	
					_
. Total Contractual Co	ost			-0-	

g. Other (Operating, Consultant, Subgrantee, etc)

]tems		 · _	Cost	
				-0-	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
			 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Total Other Cost	•		 ·	-0-	

\$12,500.00

\$12 hr. Engineer \$550/mon	\$12/br. @\$120 12 mons @\$\$50/mon	BlA Owned by Tribe	\$1.440 \$6.660			
Market Value	Use Calculations	Source	Total			
In-Kind			\$12,500.00			
1						
	\$550/mon	\$550/mon 12 mons @\$550/mon	\$550/mon 12 mons @\$550/mon Owned by Tribe			

i. Total Direct Cost (a through h) (Include Match Funds)				\$62,500.00		
j. Indirect Cost: ***		(Rate:	%)			
k. Total Proposed Costs:			-			\$62,500.00
Federal Funds Requested:	80 9	4 Recipi	ent Share	20	%	

Example

Total In-Kind Cost

**Guide for Calculating Recipient Match

Federal Share / .95 = Total Project Cost

\$50,000 / .95 = \$62,632

Total Project Cost - Federal Share = Match

\$52,632 - \$50,000 = \$2,632

***Guide for Calculating Indirect Cost.

Total Project Cost 1 + Indirect Cost Rate = Total Direct Cost

\$52,632 1.111 = \$47,373

Total Project Cost - Total Direct Cost = Indirect Cost

\$52,632 - \$47,373 = \$5,259

Check: \$47,373 X 1.11 = \$5,259

ADDITIONAL APPLICATION INFORMATION

Mrs. Gayla Benefield President	ized Representative (Name)	· -		
	(Name)	<u> </u>	<u>, </u>	
President	(Name)			
President				
		·		_
	(Title)		, .	
406-293-5535	406-293 -	·5535	;	
	ne and FAX Number)			_
gaylab@libby.org				٠,٠
	-Mail Address)			-
			-	
Admi	distrative Contact	•		٠_
Cheryl Fox	•	•		_ -
	(Name)			
Grant Administrator	•			_ ,
	(Title)			_
406-293-3333	406-293	-5334		
	ne and FAX Number)		,	_
cheryl@libby.org				_
ontact (Person responsible for pre	paring Financial State	us Report &	Payment ?	Red
Cheryl Fox	(Name)		· · · · · ·	-
	(142ME)			
Grant Administrator	(Title)	<u> </u>		-
		93–5334		
406-293-3333 (Telephor	e and FAX Number)	73-3334		-
	·		,	
cheryl@libby.org	Mail Address)			-
	· ,			

(E-Mail Address)

Two Year Work/Operating Plan 2004-2005

Libby Area Technical Assistance Group, Inc. Under Grant to United States Environmental Protection Agency

Introduction

The Libby Area Technical Assistance Group, Inc. (LATAG) applied for and received a United States Environmental Protection Agency Technical Assistance Grant in March 2003, and has functioned under that grant since.

In June of 2003, the LATAG awarded a Technical Advisor's Contract to Mr. Gordon Sullivan dba/Focal Point Inc.

In March of 2003 many of the board positions of the LATAG became open and over the following were filled with community leaders, a testament to the potentially powerful voices of the LATAG within the community of the Libby Superfund Site.

The new board of directors conducted business under the existing grant and has recorded several successful endeavors as their involvement in community participation grew and matured.

As one off their early directives the new board of the LATAG through sub contract with their Technical Advisor outlined a training process which is designed to assist the board in their commitment to community service under the EPA Grant. The first introductory phase of that training took place in early April with the assistance of members of the grant administration staff of the EPA and the second leg of the training continued on July of 2004 when the board outlined its obligations and responsibilities as a 501C board and its accountability to the community it serves.

At the same time the following Draft Operating Plan was presented to the board by the Technical

11/ 14

"Two Year Work Plan" was agreed upon and accepted by the board during training.

Libby Area Technical Assistance Group, Inc Mission Statement

Insert:

Two Year Operating Plan

Assisted by the accepted LATAG *Policy and Procedures* and with the direction of the LATAG contracted Technical Advisor, the full board accepts the following list of directives to be gainfully worked upon by the board or its assignees over the next two operating years covering the time between July 2000 to June 2005 when a replacement work plan will be drafted and presented to the full board for its consideration. During quarterly training sessions the board will review the content and purpose of the Work Plan and at that time offer addendums or changes to the assigned directives should those become necessary during the course of doing business under the accepted work plan.

Community Comment:

In June of 2004, the LATAG completed a Draft Community Response Document" which addresses in detail a number of concerns the board has relating to the EPA Response Plan and Technical memorandum released by the EPA in December 2003.

The draft response document was reviewed and read by each board member and their comments were included in the document by the contracted Technical Advisor and the draft document was accepted as complete on July 26, 2004.

A number of directives flow from the presentation and completion of the LATAG.

2004 Community Response Document community comment which include:

Finalize the Community Response Document and formally present to the EPA Region Eight for its response.

Stimulated by the concerns outlines in the Community Response Document engage in a proactive discussion conducted on a formal basis with the EPA managers and support personnel to address each of the concerns set forth in the LATAG document.

Provide leadership for the EPA and its contractors as to the community's concerns over cleanup standards, assessed risk, budget utilization, operations and maintenance and the future of the Libby Asbestos Site.

Put into place a formal process by which the member of the Libby Community can, through the LATAG, bring their concerns forward to the EPA managers in order to have their voices heard at a decision making level.

Continue to function as a technical response for the Libby community with regards to operations at the Libby Asbestos Site.

Continue to operate at the highest level with regard to public involvement and participation in EPA and Volpe Center decision making.

On a regular basis prepare and present response documents to the EPA addressing the Libby Community's concerns, recommendations and observations relating to the cleanup process underway within the community.

Read and respond to all EPA documents, reports, technical memorandums produced for release within the Libby Asbestos Site.

Proactive Engagement in the establishment and implementation of a new Risk Assessment Model specific to Libby Amphibole Asbestos.

Prepare an initual response document outlining the Libby community and LATAG understanding about the present risk assessment tools employed at the Libby Asbestos Site.

Present a response document to EPA risk managers prior to the LATAG's attendance at the scheduled "Risk Assessment" meetings to be held in Region Eight offices of EPA in Denver. Prepare a formal interaction between the Center for Asbestos Related Disease (CARD) and the LATAG as it relates to existing cancer numbers and cancer types.

Include CARD information as baseline information in support of LATAG present and future positions on risk assessment.

Chair and educate "LATAG Risk Assessment Team" prior to attendance at the Denver meetings.

5 to 5 14 14

13/ 14

Prepare and present a followup response to the findings associated with risk assessment and prepare for the furtherance of LATAG education either by outside consultation or with the help of the risk assessment management team at Region Eight.

Review and make formal response to any and all publically released information produced by the EPA that in any way relates to assessment of risk on the Libby Asbestos Site.

Engage in a formal and meaningful dialogue with EPA managers relating to the subject of risk assessment.

If necessary engage a professional outside scientific consultant or list of scientific consultants that will assist the Libby Community and the LATAG in its understanding of EPA risk assessment.

If necessary employ the services of an outside consultant that might will further evaluate the extent of cleanup work preformed at the Libby Asbestos Site in the event the community suspects material is left in place that will heighten the potential or future or present exposure and therefore the overall risk associated with the EPA process. If necessary employee the profession services of a management consultant firm that might address the overall expenditure of funds to ensure funds are going to direct cleanup as opposed to unnecessary overhead or design.

Through the comprehensive understanding of the EPA process of risk assessment and management prepare a public communication and education program relating to the findings.

Continue to promote and attend meetings relating to risk assessment either sponsored by the EPA or other outside agencies.

Public Communications and Education on technical matters surrounding the Libby Asbestos Site.

Through its contract with the incumbent Technical Advisor, the LATAG board will put into place a serviceable Communications Division under the oversight of a sub chairperson from the board. The communications sub chairperson will serve in an advisory capacity to the contracted Technical Advisor in matters of public communication and will therefore assist in the contractor's deliverables. The Technical Advisor will let a Technical Subcontract and assign specific duties to the selected sub contractor.

The sub contractor will answer to the Technical Advisor and will be awarded specific

医防护 经费

task hours in which to accomplish assigned work. Such work will include:

Development of a consistent news article to appear in the two local newspapers on a regular basis and addressing technical information about the Libby Asbestos Site and its cleanup process.

Develop a series of public power point presentations on technical subjects involving either work in progress or risk assessment.

Edit and review all technical documents produced by the Technical Advisor over the course of his/her contract.

Prepare an informative and interactive Web Site addressing technical subjects surrounding either the cleanup process or the advancement of risk assessment.

Proof and place a series of technical feature articles designed for magazines, newspapers etc relating to the cleanup process underway in Libby.

Work with the Technical Advisor on the development of a long term communications plan that will follow the project to Operations and Maintenance.

<u>Sayla Benefield</u> Ms. Gayla Benefield, Chairperson LATAG

Date:

July 26, 2004